ISSN 2348-1218 (print)
International Journal of Interdisciplinary Research and Innovations ISSN 2348-1226 (online)
Vol. 13, Issue 4, pp: (1-5), Month: October 2025 - December 2025, Available at: www.researchpublish.com

MASTERING THE CHANGE ORDER PROCESS: TURNING CHALLENGES INTO OPPORTUNITIES

[1]Zeyad A. AlGhamdi, [2]Moaath AlThawadi, [3]Asma AlFattoh

[1]Supervisor Project Engineer

[2]Supervisor Business Projects

[3]Associate Contracts Advisor

DOI: https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.17233681

Published Date: 01-October-2025

Abstract: **This article offers an extensive overview of the essential elements of the change order process within project management. It outlines a structured approach to initiating, evaluating, and approving change orders, providing practical insights into successful negotiation techniques with contractors and stakeholders. The focus is on ensuring that changes enhance project results instead of causing disruptions to schedules or budgets. Furthermore, the article underscores the vital significance of upholding fairness, transparency, and integrity throughout the change order process to build trust, reduce conflicts, and maintain the overarching goals of the project.**

Keywords: **project management, successful negotiation techniques, Furthermore.**

1. INTRODUCTION

In every project, change is inevitable. No matter how thorough the planning phase is, unexpected issues often surface. Sometimes it's a design element that was missed during the early stages, or it's a site condition that turned out differently than expected or overlooked. And occasionally, proponents step in with new non-unavoidable requirements related to safety that must be met to protect both people and assets.

While changes can feel disruptive, they don't have to derail a project. The key lies in how they are managed. A well-structured Change Order process not only keeps projects on track but can also turn challenges into opportunities for improvement. After all, making a change early in the project lifecycle is far less costly and disruptive than addressing it late in execution, when delays and expenses multiply.

Furthermore, Ethics are essential in managing change order requests. The project team must guarantee that all modifications are executed in strict accordance with the company's established protocols, ensuring complete transparency and accountability throughout each phase. This process starts with a detailed documentation of the project change request, which should include the justification, scope of work, and expected impact. It also involves recording the responses and approvals to create a clear audit trail. Ethical practices necessitate that the scope of work, bill of materials, and pricing methods are agreed upon with the contractor, whether through an approved Schedule C pricing structure or validated vendor quotations. A well-defined negotiation strategy should be established prior to the bidding process, ensuring fairness and objectivity to safeguard the company's interests. It is crucial for the project team to thoroughly evaluate whether the change is truly necessary before granting approval, and once approved, to ensure that implementation is completed and properly documented. This disciplined and ethical approach not only shields the organization from unnecessary costs and scope creep but also enhances trust with stakeholders and contractors.

This article will guide you through the fundamental aspects of the Change Order process, detailing how to initiate it, assess and approve it, and effectively negotiate it with contractors and stakeholders to ensure that changes enhance your project instead of undermining it. This includes the importance of maintaining fairness and integrity in the issuance of change orders.

2. WHY CHANGE IS HAPPENING

Before diving into the Change Order process, it is crucial to understand the reasons behind these changes. Understanding the root causes helps project teams anticipate risks, plan effectively, and respond in a structured way when changes inevitably arise. Approximately, changes in projects can be summarized into four main categories:

Design Requirements or Gaps

One of the primary sources of change comes from the detailed design and engineering phase. Even with very good planning, additional operational facilities may become necessary due to specialized studies such as flow assurance, batching study, surge analyses, or other technical assessments. These studies often uncover new requirements that were not foreseen in the project proposal design stage. For instance, a batching study might suggest the necessity for an additional tank to ensure product integrity during transportation. Although these changes are legitimate and often manageable during the design phase, they still represent new facilities or systems that must be added to the project scope. Effectively capturing and incorporating them at this stage helps to avoid costly rework in the future.

Unexpected Site Conditions

Another common factor driving change is the reality of site conditions, which can vary greatly from the drawing made during design. Soil quality, underground utilities, or unforeseen obstructions can all necessitate adjustments to the original plan. To minimize the risk of such changes, thorough early-stage site investigations are essential. These may include geotechnical surveys, underground radar mapping, or even trial excavations to reveal the true conditions under the location of the new foundations. Proactive site assessment helps ensure that potential issues are identified and accounted for before construction begins, reducing the likelihood of disruptive surprises later that will hold the project progress at site and impact the cost of the project.

New Requirements or Regulations

The third category of change arises from new requirements or regulatory updates introduced after the project has started. These changes frequently pertain to improved safety standards, environmental regulations, or operational practices designed to protect both people and assets. Unlike design gaps or site conditions, these changes are typically nonnegotiable and must be incorporated into the project scope. Because they are essential for compliance and long-term asset reliability, they require careful review, agreement among stakeholders, and timely reflection in the project plan before execution progresses too far.

SCOPE CHANGES

Another category of change is that the owner might request additional work, features, or modifications that were not part of the original plan/contract. Scope changes in a project, whether arising from modifications to the original contract or from evolving project requirements, represent the most significant challenges in project management. Such changes may involve adjustments to deliverables, timelines, or resource allocations, and often carry direct implications for cost, schedule and quality. To manage these effectively, a structured process of evaluation, documentation, and approval is essential to ensure that all parties fully understand and accept the impact of the proposed modifications.

3. THE IMPORTANCE OF TIMING

Not all changes are created equal, especially when it comes to timing. Approving a change during the project proposal phase is generally smoother, faster, and more cost-effective. However, changes that arise during the construction or commissioning phase can have a big impact. At this stage, modifications often require restarting procurement cycles, conducting new inspections and tests, and coordinating additional installation activities at site while teams are already focused on executing the baseline scope. This not only adds direct costs but also disrupts schedules, reduces productivity, and adds additional strain on project teams. For this reason, it is crucial to have a structured process in place that encourages early identification of potential changes, open discussions among stakeholders, and quick resolution. Addressing changes early in the project lifecycle will always be more effective and less disruptive than waiting until late execution, when the consequences become significantly more expensive and complex to manage.

4. PROCESS OF CHANGE REQUEST

To manage changes effectively, every organization must implement a clear, structured process. This approach ensures that changes are addressed proactively and formally, rather than handled reactively or informally, thereby adhering to a disciplined path that maintains control over cost, schedule, and quality. A standard Change Request process can be outlined in seven key steps:

Initiation

The process begins with the submission of a formal change request letter. This request must clearly describe the proposed change, the reason behind it, and the potential risks of not implementing it.

Evaluation

Upon receipt of a request letter from the Contractor, the request should undergo a thorough evaluation. This process needs to have the technical justification, evaluating the implications on cost and schedule, and confirming alignment with project objectives. Authorization should be provided only when the modification is considered both essential and practical.

Scope and Material Definition

After Project Manager approval on the change request. The detailed scope of work must be defined, including all required materials and resources. Detailed documentation at this stage prevents the risk of scope creep and guarantees alignment among the project proponent, contractor, and stakeholders.

Negotiation and Pricing

Once we define the scope, materials, and resources required to execute the work. These elements must be mutually signed and agreed upon by both parties, ensuring transparency and providing a solid foundation for the subsequent bidding and pricing process. After that, the project team plays a central role in preparing the company estimate and shaping the negotiation strategy. The aim is not only to reach agreement but also to secure fair and balanced pricing, in full compliance with the company's procedures as the pricing references are taken either from the contract's schedule C when it's available, or sourced from the market by obtaining at least three competitive quotations. The average of these quotations then serves as the pricing benchmark, safeguarding fairness and consistency in negotiations while ensuring that company interests are protected. The Project Team must make sure that all documents related to the Change Order are correctly labeled either confidential and only Bid review team can have access to them.

Awarding

Once the bids are opened and negotiations are conducted in line with the negotiation strategy prepared by the project team, both the company and the contractor must reach agreement on the final price. This agreed price forms the basis for processing the Change Order and issuing the purchase order to the contractor, thereby authorizing the work to proceed officially.

Implementation and Monitoring

Following the award, the project team holds responsibility for providing close oversight of the Change Order implementation. This includes monitoring progress, ensuring that the work is executed as agreed, and verifying that the objectives of the Change Order are fully achieved in alignment with project requirements.

5. CHANGE ORDER CLOSEOUT AND SETTLEMENT

Upon completion of the Change Order work herein, the Contractor will submit a "Notice of Contract Change Order Completion" to the Project team certifying that the work has been completed along with settlement for contract change orders to be signed by both parties. Once work is verified and documents reviewed and signed by the Project Team, the Service Entry Sheet will be initiated in the system and the final release will take place. When the workflow is approved, the change order has officially been completed and closed. At that point, closeout and settlement of Change Orders is one of the key processes in the project management lifecycle. During this phase, completed Change Orders are closed, settled, and documented for future reference. Closeout of Change Orders ensures that the agreed scope of work captured in the Change Order has been completed, and that the full settlement of costs, terms, and provisions are made in accordance with the contract requirements. It acknowledges that the actual work, costs, contractual implications, and schedule impacts

related to the Change Order contractual liability are complete. Closing and settling Change Orders provides the Contractor and project management a final and auditable account of work performed, costs incurred, and values delivered. Closing and settling Change Orders reduces the risk of outstanding liabilities during project closeout, and improves accountability for work, costs, and value before project closeout. Ensuring a proper closeout and settlement of Change Orders ultimately reduces the risk of disputes and builds stakeholder confidence in project completion.

6. THE IMPORTANCE OF MAINTAINING FAIRNESS AND INTEGRITY IN THE ISSUANCE OF CHANGE ORDERS

In brownfield construction projects, it is essential to maintain fairness and integrity in the issuance of change orders, given the intricate nature of operating within existing facilities, where unexpected conditions frequently arise and precise as-built data may be scarce.

If change orders are not handled with transparency and equity, they can result in disputes, budget overruns, and delays in project completion. Fair practice requires that change orders be grounded in clear contractual terms, timely communication, and objective cost assessments (Clough et al., 2015). Ethical behavior from all parties—clients, contractors, and consultants—is essential to prevent manipulation, such as scope inflation or strategic claim submission (Loosemore et al., 2006). Transparent documentation of site conditions and collaborative resolution of issues promote mutual trust and reduce adversarial interactions (Cheung et al., 2012). Moreover, implementing a well-organized change management process that includes standardized procedures and unbiased assessments guarantees that changes are handled according to their merits rather than influenced by project-related pressures.

In the end, an equitable and integrity-focused approach not only maintains project schedules and financial plans but also enhances enduring relationships among stakeholders in brownfield settings, where adaptability and trust are essential.

7. CONCLUSION

A change order represents an official alteration or modification to the original scope of the contract. It is crucial to understand that the primary scope resides within the change order. However, the change order serves to either add or remove a specific scope. This may lead to additional costs or impacts on the schedule.

Proper administration of Change Orders establishes good order, clarity, and financial certainty in a project. By negotiating, documenting, and obtaining approval for changes in scope, cost, or schedule, the project team ensures that the terms of adjustment are recognized, accepted, and contractually acknowledged by all parties. Professional administration of Change Orders minimizes misunderstandings and misalignments, as well as establishes an accurate record of project scope, costs, and time throughout the lifecycle of the project. Moreover, treating Change Orders with the same diligence as the original contract terms and conditions, provides both the owner and the Contractor with tools to ensure project success and clear lines of accountability.

Closing and settling the Change Order is also extremely important. At the conclusion of successful negotiations, all changes must be formally incorporated into project documents — including financial, schedule, and contract documents. Settlements provide a clear and auditable record that scope, costs, and/or schedules have been negotiated, agreed upon, and accepted by the parties. Moreover, it is important to ensure that all Change Orders are formally closed and settled in advance of project completion. Proper closeout and settlement of Change Orders reinforce compliance, build trust, and ensure that organizations deliver a project that is completed, fairly, based on acceptable and mutually agreed upon for all costs, scope, and/or schedules.

During the change order process, it is crucial to manage costs by adhering to the company's established procedures. Initially, this can be achieved through comprehensive documentation of the change, which should include the scope, justification, and cost implications. A detailed estimate must be prepared using the rates specified in the contract and submitted through the appropriate channels. The project team is responsible for evaluating the change in terms of both cost and schedule impact. Throughout the evaluation and processing of the change orders, the project engineer, contract advisor, cost engineer, and scheduler must all be involved. Once approval is secured, the costs are integrated into the cost report, and the change order log must be updated accordingly. Furthermore, periodic reconciliations are necessary to ensure budget control and compliance with organization.

Conversely, a Project Change Request (PCR) is a procedure employed when a change occurs that could affect the original scope of the project. This may also entail costs or schedule implications. Moreover, it is initiated by the project team and necessitates management approval. It is vital to emphasize that each change order must be thoroughly assessed to turn the challenges of the project into an opportunity to achieve the project objective.

In summary, the effectiveness of brownfield construction projects is largely contingent upon a methodical and principled approach to managing change orders. Due to the intrinsic risks and uncertainties associated with these environments, it is crucial to uphold fairness and integrity throughout the process to reduce disputes and meet project goals. An anticipatory strategy that prioritizes ethical behavior, transparent communication, precise documentation, and equitable evaluation processes can greatly improve project outcomes and stakeholder contentment. As the construction sector progresses, the implementation of best practices in change order governance will continue to be a fundamental aspect of successful project management and enduring collaboration.

REFERENCES

[1] Clough, R. H., Sears, G. A., & Sears, S. K. (2015). Construction Contracting: A Practical Guide to Company Management. John Wiley & Sons.

[2] Loosemore, M., Raftery, J., Reilly, C., & Higgon, D. (2006). Risk Management in Projects. Taylor & Francis.

[3] Cheung, S. O., Yiu, T. W., & Yeung, S. F. (2012). "A study of the change management process: The Hong Kong experience." International Journal of Project Management, 30(3), 304–316